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#### Abstract

The insertions of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and B into methane and water have been chosen as models to study the reactivities of atom dimers vs bare atoms. At our highest theoretical level (B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p)//B3LYP/6-311++G$(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ ), the activation energies for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ insertions into methane and water are predicted to be 4.1 and -6.7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, while the values increase to 16.2 and $0.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for insertions of B into two substrates, which shows directly that $B_{2}$ have a higher reactivity than $B$ in inserting into the two substrates. In addition, two new species $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}(\mathrm{B})$ and $\mathrm{HOBH}(\mathrm{B})$ are theoretically predicted.


The reaction of bare atoms/clusters with compounds has been a very active field of research. As an important class of reactions, the insertion of bare atoms into molecules such as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Hal}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has interested scientists for many years. ${ }^{1-15}$ However, there has been less attention paid to the insertion of atom dimers/clusters, and there is little knowledge about the reactivities of atom dimers/clusters vs atoms. To our knowledge, although several experimental and theoretical studies ${ }^{2,11,12}$ have indirectly shown that some atom dimers/clusters are more reactive than bare atoms, no direct and detailed experimental and theoretical evidence to prove it has been reported. In this study, we choose the insertions of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and B into methane and water as models to study the

[^0]reactivities of atom dimers vs bare atoms, and show direct theoretical evidence for the higher reactivity of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ compared to the single B atom.

The hybrid B3LYP DFT method ${ }^{16,17}$ was applied to optimize fully structures of the stationary points under investigation with basis sets, ${ }^{18} 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}), 6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, and $6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$. The B3LYP/6-31G(d) frequency calculations were employed to characterize the stationary points, and to estimate zero point energy (scaled by a factor of $0.9804^{19}$ ) contributions to the relative energies. Finally, the energetic results were refined by performing single point B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) calculations at the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) geometries. In the following the highest level energetic and geometric results are used unless otherwise noted. The spin contaminations in the unrestricted calculations are negligible.

The insertions of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and B into $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are predicted to occur according to the following reaction schemes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{TS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}(\mathrm{~B})  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{CH}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{TS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{TS} \rightarrow \mathrm{HOBH}(\mathrm{~B})  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{OH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{TS} \rightarrow \mathrm{HOBH} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The energetic results are listed in Table 1. It is noted that the relative energy values in columns 6 to 8 are quite close,

[^1]Table 1. Relative Energies ${ }^{a}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ for the Intermediate Complexes, Transition States, and Products, Together with the B3LYP/6-31G(d) Zero Point Energies (ZPE), and the Imaginary Frequencies (IMG) for the Transition States

|  |  | ZPE ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | IMG, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 6-31G(d) | $6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | $6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ | $6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p})^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1a | 29.3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-89.93405)^{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-89.96125) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-89.96319) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-89.96574) \end{gathered}$ |
| TS | 1 c | 28.4 | 731.7 i | 7.3 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ (B) H | 1 d | 30.4 |  | -74.2 | -73.7 | -73.9 | -74.2 |
| $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 2a | 27.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (-65.17273) | (-65.19642) | (-65.19755) | (-65.19946) |
| TS | 2c | 24.8 | 850.8i | 19.0 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ | 2d | 27.4 |  | -62.0 | -61.3 | -61.7 | $-62.0(-56.2)^{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 3a | 14.5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-125.82461) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-125.88582) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-125.88786) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-125.89233) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 3b | 17.3 |  | -15.4 | -13.8 | -14.3 | -14.8 |
| TS | 3 c | 13.7 | 1368.9i | -9.3 | -5.6 | -6.3 | -6.7 |
| $\mathrm{HOB}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{H}$ | 3 d | 17.2 |  | -104.5 | -95.6 | -96.8 | -97.1 |
| $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4a | 13.0 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-101.06330) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-101.12100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-101.12222) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (-101.12604) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 4b | 14.2 |  | -7.3 | -5.1 | - -4.9 | -5.1 |
| TS | 4 c | 10.9 | 1191.1 i | -3.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | -0.0 |
| HBOH | 4d | 14.7 |  | -103.1 | -94.1 | -95.7 | -95.9 (-100.0) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |

[^2] are the total energies in au. ${ }^{d}$ Single point calculations at the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) geometries. ${ }^{e}$ From ref $20, E_{\mathrm{G} 2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}\right)=-65.10243$ au, $E_{\mathrm{G} 2}\left(\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)=-65.01292 \mathrm{au} .{ }^{f}$ From ref 4.


Figure 1. Minimum energy paths for reactions $1-4$ at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.
and the largest discrepancy with those in column 5 is not more than $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ except for $\mathbf{3 d}$ and $\mathbf{4 d}$. Reaction 4 is exothermic by $95.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, in agreement with previous theoretical values of about $100 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .^{4}$ The predicted exothermic value of 62.0 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for reaction 2 is closer to the G2 value of $56.2 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol}{ }^{20}$ than the CCSD/DZP value of $49.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{10}$ The curves A-D in Figure 1 depict the variations of the energies relative to the respective reactants with the intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRCs) for reactions $1-4$, respectively, which shows clearly that the energy barrier for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ insertion into either $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is lower than that for the corresponding B atom insertion. The activation energies for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ insertions into $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are predicted to be 4.1 and $-6.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, while the values increase to 16.2 and $0.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the B atom. The energetic results indicate that boron dimer has a higher reactivity compared to a single boron atom in inserting into methane and water. To this point, it is helpful to mention the previous studies of the reactions of carbon and dicarbon with methane and water. Experimentally, under matrix conditions, Klabunde and co-workers ${ }^{12}$ have shown that the ground-state $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ carbon does not react with methane and water, while $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ reacts with the two substrates. At the MP3/6-31G(d,p)//HF/3$21 \mathrm{G}+$ ZPE level, McKee et al. ${ }^{13}$ predicted the activation energy for insertion of $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ into methane to be $38.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and

[^3]

Figure 2. Optimized structures of transition states ( $\mathbf{1 c}-\mathbf{4 c}$ ) and products ( $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}$ ). The values given are the geometric parameters at the B3LYP/6-311+ $+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ level. Bond lengths are given in $\AA$ and angles in deg.

Sakai et al. ${ }^{14}$ reported a value of $30.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at the MP4/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) / / \mathrm{HF} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level, which implies that the reaction takes place very difficultly, in agreement with the experimental results. ${ }^{12}$ The barrier height for insertion of $\mathrm{C}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}\right)$into methane was calculated to be $18.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{15}$ at the MP2(full)/ $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level, but the value lowered significantly to $-0.4 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol}^{15}$ at the G2 level. The energetic results imply that the insertion of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ into methane occurs favorably, which seems to contradict the experimental assumption ${ }^{12}$ that it occurs by the hydrogen abstraction process. For the reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ with water,

Table 2. Calculated (B3LYP/6-31G(d)) Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, Scaled by a Factor of $0.9613^{19}$ ) and Infrared Intensities (in parentheses, $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for Insertion Products of Reaction 1 to 4

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{H}$ | $3002(22), 2956(14), 2908(6), 2469(60), 1443(4), 1437(4), 1302(6), 1111(64), 974(6), 832(11), 766(5), 711(0.2)$, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $574(0.1), 203(0.8), 120(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ | $2984(17), 2930(10), 2877(2), 2536(120), 1431(7), 1406(3), 1291(32), 981(15), 970(26), 652(1), 591(0.1), 109(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{HOB}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{H}$ | $3633(43), 2481(72), 1269(318), 1105(91), 927(58), 761(1), 740(1), 534(110), 283(3)$ |
| HOBH | $3610(73), 2496(108), 1297(132), 1079(97), 853(72), 734(60)$ |

although experimentalists ${ }^{12}$ found evidence for its occurrence, no conclusions were made about its reaction mechanism. The structures of transition states, together with the most important geometric parameters involved in the insertion processes, are displayed in Figure 2. The four transition states are threecentered ones, which are similar to that for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ insertion into methane, ${ }^{15}$ but in contrast to the previous proposals, ${ }^{11 b-d}$ fourcentered transition states for the insertion of $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$ into R-Hal. In those structures the inserted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are inclined to break, while the other two bonds, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}$ and O ), are inclined to form. It should be pointed out that $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is much more stable than $\mathrm{Mg}_{2}$

Klabunde and co-workers ${ }^{7}$ investigated the four reactions, and found that $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, as well as the B atom, is very efficiently consumed by $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. According to our energetic results, reaction 1,3 , and 4 are expected to occur favorably due to the small or negative activation energies, in agreement with the experimental facts. ${ }^{5,7,8-10}$ For reaction 2, semiempirical calculations ${ }^{3}$ proposed it take place without energy barrier. Our predicted energy barrier ( $16.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for this reaction seems to be slightly larger for its occurrence at low temperature. We suggest that its occurrence under the experimental conditions may be due to the participation of the hyperthermal boron atom from laser ablation (it is possible, see ref 8). Note that the barrier for insertion of Al into $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ was predicted to be as high as $49.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .^{6}$ Sakai and Jordan ${ }^{4}$ reported a barrier of 11.9 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for reaction 4 , which is much larger than our present value $(0.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. We attribute the larger difference to the strong electron correlation effect, which has been found in our previous works, ${ }^{21,22}$ as they ${ }^{4}$ stated "multireference CI or MCSCF calculations should give a significantly lower transition state".

The new species, $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}$, are predicted to be 74.2 and $97.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower in energy than their reactants $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$, respectively, and their structures are displayed in Figure 2. 1d and $\mathbf{3 d}$ have the structures more similar to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgF}(\mathrm{Mg})^{11 e}$ that has less total energy compared to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgMgF}$. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}}$ Comparing with the product of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ insertion into methane, ${ }^{15}$ it can be found that, in $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}$ the onlooking boron is far away from the inserted hydrogen (the distances between the two atoms are 2.302 and $2.354 \AA$ in 1d and 3d, respectively), while the

[^4]onlooking carbon is close to the inserted hydrogen (the distance between the two atoms is $1.268 \AA$ at the MP2(full)/6-31G(d) level). The difference can also be found between 1d (or 3d) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgF}(\mathrm{Mg})$. Table 2 lists the B3LYP/6-31G(d) vibration frequency values and infrared intensities for 1d and 3d, including those for $\mathbf{2 d}$ and $\mathbf{4 d}$. For $\mathbf{2 d}$, it is not difficult to find the vibration frequencies corresponding to the FT-IR bands, ${ }^{7,9,10}$ and to previous theoretical values. ${ }^{11}$ Of particular interest, the frequencies used to characterize the possible identification of 2d may be presented in 1d. For example, the frequencies related to the terminal $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching modes of $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 d}$ are predicted to be 2469 and $2536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, both of which are close to the FT-IR band value ${ }^{7}$ of about $2494 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Thus, we suggest there may be species such as 1d produced in Klabunde's experiment. ${ }^{7}$ It may be recognized also for $\mathbf{3 d}$ and 4d. Note that there was disagreement in assigning the FT-IR bands for the $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ reaction system. ${ }^{7,8}$

The $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and B insertions into $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ are different from those into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. For each of reactions 3 and 4 , an intermediate complex prior to the transition state is predicted, while for reactions 1 and 2 , no species such as $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ can be located. In our previous works, it was found that each of the insertions of $\mathrm{CH}^{21}$ and $\mathrm{SiH}^{23}$ into hydrides such as $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HF}$, etc. exists an intermediate complexes prior to the transition state along the insertion paths, and no complexes can be found for the insertions of $\mathrm{CH}^{21}$ and $\mathrm{SiH}^{24}$ into methane. Qualitatively, since the orbital structure of the B atom and of the B atom in $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is similar to that of the C atom of CH or of the Si atom of SiH , we attribute the formation of intermediate complexes in reactions 3 and 4 to the interaction between lone pair(s) on the oxygen atom of water and the empty p orbitals of the B atom as we did previously. ${ }^{21,23}$ Mulliken population showed the $\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ fragments in $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ have 0.368 e and 0.305 e positive charges, respectively, which is in agreement with previous proposals for the $\mathrm{B}^{\sigma-}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}{ }^{\text {s+ }}$ species. ${ }^{25}$
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